

Consultation Questionnaire Exemption No. 4(f) of RoHS Annex III

Current wording of the exemption:

Mercury in other discharge lamps for special purposes not specifically mentioned in this Annex

Requested validity period: Maximum (5 years and 7 years (cat. 8 and 9) respectively)

ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

UV	Ultra Violet
LED	Light-Emitting-Diode
Hg	Mercury
LEU	LightingEurope

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Bio Innovation Service, UNITAR and Fraunhofer IZM have been appointed¹ by the European Commission through for the evaluation of applications for the review of requests for new exemptions and the renewal of exemptions currently listed in Annexes III and IV of the RoHS Directive 2011/65/EU.

VDMA and Lighting Europe submitted requests² for the renewal of the above-mentioned exemption. The request has been subject to a first completeness and plausibility check. The applicant has been re-quested to answer additional questions and to provide additional information, available on the request webpage of the stakeholder consultation³.

The stakeholder consultation is part of the review process for the request at hand. The objective of this consultation and the review process is to collect and to evaluate information and evidence according to the criteria listed in Art. 5(1)(a) of Directive 2011/65/EU.⁴

To contribute to this stakeholder consultation, please answer the below questions until the 27th of May 2021.

1.2. Summary of the Exemption Request

According to VDMA: *“The application for prolongation of the existing exemption refers to mercury-containing UV discharge lamps which are used for curing (e.g. of layers of inks and coatings, adhesives and sealants),*

¹ It is implemented through the specific contract 070201/2020/832829/ENV.B.3 under the Framework contract ENV.B.3/FRA/2019/0017

² Exemption request available at [RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation \(biois.eu\)](https://biois.eu/rohs-annex-iii-exemption-evaluation-stakeholder-consultation)

³ Clarification questionnaire available at [RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation \(biois.eu\)](https://biois.eu/rohs-annex-iii-exemption-evaluation-stakeholder-consultation)

⁴ Directive 2011/65/EU (RoHS) available at <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32011L0065:EN:NOT>

for disinfection (e.g. of water, surfaces and air) and for other industrial applications (surface modification, surface activation) The application includes the following lamp types:

- **UV medium-pressure discharge lamps (MPL) for curing, disinfection and other industrial applications** (internal operating pressure > 100 mbar). The UV medium-pressure lamps can be doped with iron, gallium or lead in addition to the mercury they contain.
- **UV low-pressure discharge lamps for special purposes in the high power range.** [...]

Typical applications to be covered by this application include curing, e.g. of inks and coatings, disinfection of water etc., and other industrial applications like surface activation and cleaning.

It is technically not possible to replace mercury in special UV lamps with other materials/chemicals in order to achieve the same widespread radiation distribution. LED-based technologies are increasingly being used, which in certain applications (e.g. curing) also offer many advantages over mercury-containing UV lamps. Nevertheless, LED technologies cannot be used as an equivalent replacement in many applications. ”

According to LightingEurope, “[...] The renewal application concerns lamps and UV light sources defined as:

- High Pressure Sodium (vapour) lamps (HPS) for horticulture lighting,
- Medium and high-pressure UV lamps for curing, disinfection of water and surfaces, day simulation for zoo animals, etc...
- Short-arc Hg lamps for projection, studio, stage lighting, microlithography for semiconductor production, etc...

Replacement of mercury and mercury containing lamps is impracticable:

- The lamps covered by exemption 4(f) must remain available on the EU market:
 - o For new equipment for certain applications where no functionally suitable alternatives are available
 - o As spare parts for in-use equipment as replacing end-of-life lamps avoids having equipment become electronic waste before due time”

We, IGT, are distributor of raw material and accessories for the graphic industry in North Africa. We are based in Tunis – TUNISIA and employ 4 people.

We are one of the major actors in the graphic industry: Printing, packaging, labels etc. supplying different items including UV lamps and UV technology.

We use UV lamps for curing inks and coatings.

The percentage of UV-based products in our customers’ total production is mainly 100%.

Our annual lamps sales volume is more than 200 lamps in a market of minimum 1000 lamps per year.

The number and type of machines / devices with mercury-based UV technology is more than 150 machines.

The market doesn’t have the maturity or demand to go with alternatives to UV lamps. In addition to the limited application of alternative solutions, retrofit of alternative solutions needs a complete change of the curing technology, which is a high investment. Such an investment comes in a time where companies suffer to survive because of the COVID caused market recession along with drastic increase in the raw materials prices.

UV lamps are still required for the following reasons:

- No fully reliable technology to replace mercury lamps.
- High retrofit costs in a difficult economic situation.
- Risk of complete fall of some industry sectors which depend on UV curing like pressure sensitive labels converting.
- Inability of the alternative curing technologies to supply curing systems within a short time. Therefore, prices of alternative UV systems will explode.

2. QUESTIONS

1. VDMA and LightingEurope² requested the renewal of the above exemption for the maximum validity periods with the same scope and wording for all EEE of cat. 3 and 5 (VDMA) and cat. 1-10 (LEU).
 - a. Please let us know whether you support or disagree with the wording, scope and requested duration of the exemption. To support your views, please provide detailed technical argumentation / evidence in line with the criteria⁴ in Art. 5(1)(a).

Even though we have high environmental concern, we consider that the request and the duration of the exemption are very reasonable and highly support it.

The reasons would be:

- The substitution of existing UV curing systems with mercury free alternative solutions is scientifically or technically impracticable.
- The reliability of substitutes is not ensured
- The total negative environmental, health and consumer safety impacts caused by substitution are likely to outweigh the total environmental, health and consumer safety benefits thereof.

- b. If applicable, please suggest an alternative wording and duration and explain your proposal.

From an industrial point of view, the shortening of the period of validity does not make sense, because the development of alternative solutions (e.g., based on UV LEDs) takes a lot of time. Especially, the development for new applications in the UVC area is still facing major challenges.

Furthermore, we wonder if all specific UV applications are well-known to VDMA and LightingEurope and if they have therefore been neglected to be investigated and considered in detail. The previous wording of the exception: "Mercury in other discharge lamps for special purposes not specifically mentioned in this Annex" should therefore be retained unchanged.

With regard to the following current and future developments/processes/products, the availability of UV lamps containing mercury is indispensable for our printing and converting customers.

2. Please provide information concerning possible substitutes or elimination possibilities at present or in the future so that the requested exemption could be restricted or revoked.
 - a. Please explain substitution and elimination possibilities and for which part of the applications in the scope of the requested exemption they are relevant.

The periodic system of the elements offers no alternative to mercury in discharge lamps (i.e., an "alternative filling") that would be a direct 100% compatible replacement. The physical properties of mercury make this material quite unique and ideally suited for

discharge lamps (high vapour pressure, low boiling point, specific spectral lines in areas that are ideal for disinfection and photochemical reactions). Scientific and industrial approaches to compatibly replace mercury with an alternative substance while maintaining the specific beneficial properties of mercury discharge lamps have been ongoing for decades and have all failed.

There are other mercury-free types of discharge lamps and other light sources like UV-LEDs available, which can, to some extent, be used for similar processes. There are, however, some very severe limitations:

- Direct replacement (exchanging only the lamp) is in most cases technologically not possible
- Replacement of existing machines/processes with alternative light sources (if available) usually requires additional steps, which may include:
 - replacement of power supplies and peripheral electrical components
 - replacement or alteration of inks and varnishes
 - use of other substrates
 - necessity for (other) pre-treatment technology
 - necessity for inert production environments (expensive use of nitrogen or carbon dioxide)
 - change of UV measurement equipment (different spectral sensitivity)
 - change of process speeds (usually substantial speed and productivity decrease)
 - heavy redesign of machine equipment
 - complications like cross-sensitivity to daylight and/or artificial lighting

- With respect to varnishes, replacement technologies based on LEDs can usually not provide the same degree of surface hardness, scratch resistance and product durability (automobile industry, wood industry)
- The use of replacement technologies usually has a heavy impact on the underlying chemistry of curable inks and varnishes, requiring high amounts of (toxic) photoinitiators.

- b. Please provide information as to research to find alternatives that do not rely on the exemption under review (substitution or elimination), and which may cover part or all of the applications in the scope of the exemption request.

- c. Please provide a roadmap of such on-going substitution/elimination and research (phases that are to be carried out), detailing the current status as well as the estimated time needed for further stages.

We don't see the existence of a roadmap for the complete substitution/elimination of mercury-based discharge lamps in most fields of application.

There are other technologies available which might be considered when it comes to an investment into new machines and even though, those alternative technologies have to show improvement in their efficiencies. Other technologies might gain market share with respect to conventional UV applications over time. But for numerous existing machines/processes/applications, there is no reasonable replacement available.

3. Do you know of other manufacturers producing devices of comparable features and performance like the ones in the scope of this exemption request that do not depend on RoHS-restricted substances, or use smaller amounts of these substances compared to the applications in the scope of this exemption?

We don't know any comparable product or device available with comparable performances and features.

In few applications, alternative products used with alternative inks, varnishes, etc. can have comparable features and performance in some applications but not in all other applications which need the specific spectrum of mercury for their performance.

4. As part of the evaluation, socio-economic impacts shall also be compiled and evaluated. For this purpose, if you have information on socioeconomic aspects, please provide details in respect of the following:

- a. What are the volumes of EEE in the scope of the requested exemptions which are placed on the market per year?

The market is huge and is growing.

The market of pressure sensitive label, wrap around labels, shrink sleeve are mostly depending

We do not know exact figures describing the whole market of 4(f) exactly since it covers other activities and business sectors than our field of specialities.

For our company 250 pieces of lamps are sold per year, which represent not more than 5% of the total market volume in the graphic industry.

- b. What are the volumes of additional waste to be generated should the requested exemption not be renewed or not be renewed for the requested duration?

Most existing machines on the market running with mercury discharge lamps would have to be considered as additional waste and would have to be disposed of. In many cases, it is economically and/or technologically not feasible to retrofit existing equipment with alternative light sources.

If UV lamps are no longer available, label printing and finishing processes and entire machines are no longer usable.

This would lead to a complete closure of many label converters along with a huge number of equipments as scrap.

Stored UV materials, replacement lamps and machinery of a huge value would have to be scrapped.

- c. What are estimated impacts on employment in total, in the EU and outside the EU, should the requested exemption not be renewed or be renewed for less than the re-requested time period? Please detail the main sectors in which possible impacts are expected – manufacturers of equipment in the scope of the exemption, suppliers, re-tail, users of MRI devices, etc.

Most employers of mercury-based UV technology would be confronted with a professional ban, leading to huge amount of unemployment and loss of products and productivity. Many companies and factories would stop existing.

We don't have exact figure and can only state to the best of our knowledge that hundreds of companies exist only in north Africa that employ UV technology based on mercury lamps. Some of them rely to up to 100% on the availability of mercury lamps (e.g. printers and coaters).

- d. Please estimate additional costs associated should the requested exemption not be renewed, and how this is divided between various sectors (e.g. private, public, industry: manufacturers, suppliers, retailers).

Unemployment costs for thousands of personnel.

Heavy investment costs for companies into new machinery/equipment, at the same time costs for disposal of no longer usable machines and equipment.

Loss of product diversity since no longer all products can be produced for technological and/or economic reasons.

Our business would be heavily affected.

5. Any additional information which you would like to provide?

We believe that the responsible authors of the pending mercury ban dramatically underestimate the global impact of a mercury ban on industries, products, markets, and lastly employment opportunities and end consumers.

The dramatic socio-economic outcome of a mercury-ban bears no meaningful relation to the comparatively very small amount of mercury that is really brought into the market by mercury-containing discharge lamps. Used lamps can be recycled and the mercury content can be reused for new lamps. If all participants in the market actively use the recycling opportunities, the mercury content for discharge lamps can be confined to closed-loop processes without damage or impact to the environment and personal health.

We would like to strongly encourage policy makers to invest their effort into a well-organised recycling system including increasing the public awareness on the necessity of actively participating in the recycling loop. This is a win-win situation for all involved parties to the best outcome of having the best technologies available for the specific needs and without banning certain products, machines, technologies or markets for “the worse”.

Please note that answers to these questions can be published in the stakeholder consultation, which is part of the evaluation of this request. If your answers contain confidential information, please provide a version that can be made public along with a confidential version, in which proprietary information is clearly marked.

Please do not forget to provide your contact details (Name, Organisation, e-mail and phone number) so that the project team can contact you in case there are questions concerning your contribution.