

Consultation Questionnaire Exemption No. 4(f) of RoHS Annex III

Current wording of the exemption:

Mercury in other discharge lamps for special purposes not specifically mentioned in this Annex

Requested validity period: Maximum (5 years and 7 years (cat. 8 and 9) respectively)

ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

UV

Ultra Violet

LED

Light-Emitting-Diode

Hg

Mercury

LEU

LightingEurope

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Bio Innovation Service, UNITAR and Fraunhofer IZM have been appointed by the European Commission through for the evaluation of applications for the review of requests for new exemptions and the renewal of exemptions currently listed in Annexes III and IV of the RoHS Directive 2011/65/EU.

VDMA and Lighting Europe submitted requests² for the renewal of the above-mentioned exemption. The request has been subject to a first completeness and plausibility check. The applicant has been re-quested to answer additional questions and to provide additional information, available on the request webpage of the stakeholder consultation3.

The stakeholder consultation is part of the review process for the request at hand. The objective of this consultation and the review process is to collect and to evaluate information and evidence according to the criteria listed in Art. 5(1)(a) of Directive 2011/65/EU.4

To contribute to this stakeholder consultation, please answer the below questions until the 27th of May 2021.

1.2. Summary of the Exemption Request

According to VDMA: "The application for prolongation of the existing exemption refers to mercury-containing UV discharge lamps which are used for curing (e.g. of layers of inks and coatings, adhesives and sealants),

⁴ Directive 2011/65/EU (RoHS) available at http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32011L0065:EN:NOT



It is implemented through the specific contract 070201/2020/832829/ENV.B.3 under the Framework contract ENV.B.3/FRA/2019/0017

² Exemption request available at RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)

³ Clarification questionnaire available at RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)



for disinfection (e.g. of water, surfaces and air) and for other industrial applications (surface modification, surface activation) The application includes the following lamp types:

- UV medium-pressure discharge lamps (MPL) for curing, disinfection and other industrial applications (internal operating pressure > 100 mbar). The UV medium-pressure lamps can be doped with iron, gallium or lead in addition to the mercury they contain.
- UV low-pressure discharge lamps for special purposes in the high power range. [...]

Typical applications to be covered by this application include curing, e.g. of inks and coatings, disinfection of water etc., and other industrial applications like surface activation and cleaning.

It is technically not possible to replace mercury in special UV lamps with other materials/chemicals in order to achieve the same widespread radiation distribution. LED-based technologies are increasingly being used, which in certain applications (e.g. curing) also offer many advantages over mercury-containing UV lamps. Nevertheless, LED technologies cannot be used as an equivalent replacement in many applications."

According to LightingEurope, "[...] The renewal application concerns lamps and UV light sources defined as:

- High Pressure Sodium (vapour) lamps (HPS) for horticulture lighting,
- Medium and high-pressure UV lamps for curing, disinfection of water and surfaces, day simulation for zoo animals, etc...
- Short-arc Hg lamps for projection, studio, stage lighting, microlithography for semiconductor production, etc...

Replacement of mercury and mercury containing lamps is impracticable:

- The lamps covered by exemption 4(f) must remain available on the EU market:
 - For new equipment for certain applications where no functionally suitable alternatives are available
 - As spare parts for in-use equipment as replacing end-of-life lamps avoids having equipment become electronic waste before due time"

2. QUESTIONS

- VDMA and LightingEurope² requested the renewal of the above exemption for the maximum validity periods with the same scope and wording for all EEE of cat. 3 and 5 (VDMA) and cat. 1-10 (LEU).
 - a. Please let us know whether you support or disagree with the wording, scope and re-quested duration of the exemption. To support your views, please provide detailed technical argumentation / evidence in line with the criteria4 in Art. 5(1)(a).
 - **Answer:** The wording should be retained, and an extension should be requested at least until 2026 and beyond. The reasons are: Reference to ROHS art. 5(1)(a): Exemptions for materials and components may be considered, if:
 - Some application, the effiency of alternative UV source are not demonatrated
 - b. If applicable, please suggest an alternative wording and duration and explain your proposal.
 - **Answer:** With regard to the following current and future developments, the availability of UV lamps containing mercury is indispensable for our company: UV curing for special UV lacquers on veneer surfaces.
 - The previous wording of the exception: "Mercury in other discharge lamps for special purposes not specifically mentioned in this annex" should therefor be retained unchanged.





- 2. Please provide information concerning possible substitutes or elimination possibilities at present or in the future so that the requested exemption could be restricted or revoked.
 - Please explain substitution and elimination possibilities and for which part of the ap-plications in the scope of the requested exemption they are relevant.
 - Answer: The use of replacement technologies usually has a heavy impact on the underlying chemistry of curable inks and varnishes, requiring high amounts of (toxic)photo initiators.
 - b. Please provide information as to research to find alternatives that do not rely on the exemption under review (substitution or elimination), and which may cover part or all of the applications in the scope of the exemption request.
 - Answer: According to our experience, replacement of existing UV lamp system with alternatives leads to a manifold of problems including quality issues, high investment costs and higher overall operational costs.
 - c. Please provide a roadmap of such on-going substitution/elimination and research (phases that are to be carried out), detailing the current status as well as the estimated time needed for further stages.

Answer: Because we are only users of UV varnishes, we cannot describe such a roadmap.

3. Do you know of other manufacturers producing devices of comparable features and performance like the ones in the scope of this exemption request that do not depend on RoHS-restricted substances, or use smaller amounts of these substances compared to the applications in the scope of this exemption?

Answer: since 100% replacement on existing installations is not possible, there is also no comparable product or device available with comparable features and performance.

The replacement with UV led technologie means change the cooling system, throw all the conventional lamps with Mercury bulb and spend many time on customers's site for the retrofit with high costs.

- 4. As part of the evaluation, socio-economic impacts shall also be compiled and evaluated. For this purpose, if you have information on socioeconomic aspects, please provide details in respect of the following:
 - a. What are the volumes of EEE in the scope of the requested exemptions which are placed on the market per year?

Answer: Surface lacquers in the object door industry are usually treated with UV lacquers. So there should be large quantities on the market.

b. What are the volumes of additional waste to be generated should the requested ex-emption not be renewed or not be renewed for the requested duration?

Answer: Our entire surface road would have to be replaced. In addition, an edge coating would have to be replaced. A lot of garbage and costs for us.

c. What are estimated impacts on employment in total, in the EU and outside the EU, should the requested exemption not be renewed or be renewed for less than the re-quested time period? Please detail the main sectors in which possible impacts are expected - manufacturers of equipment in the scope of the exemption, suppliers, re-tail, users of MRI devices, etc.

Answer: An important quality standard does not apply to our company. There could be order difficulties, which will result in downsizing.





d. Please estimate additional costs associated should the requested exemption not be renewed, and how this is divided between various sectors (e.g. private, public, industry: manufacturers, suppliers, retailers).

Answer: Unemployment costs for thousands of personnel. Loss of product diversity since no longer all products can be produced for technological and economic reasons.

Any additional information which you would like to provide?

We would like to strongly encourage policy makers to invest their effort into a well-organised recycling system including increasing the public awareness on the necessity of actively participating in the recycling loop.

Please note that answers to these questions can be published in the stakeholder consultation, which is part of the evaluation of this request. If your answers contain confidential information, please provide a version that can be made public along with a confidential version, in which proprietary information is clearly marked.

Please do not forget to provide your contact details (Name, Organisation, e-mail and phone number) so that the project team can contact you in case there are questions concerning your contribution.

Im Auftrag

Rans Glock GmbH & Co. KG

Ronny Liebold Weißendorfer Str. 7
Technischer Leiter Telefon: +49 36628 695-0
Neuform Türenweiß: Hanne Berger SimpH & Co. Kg

Tel 036628/695-1553

r.liebold@neuform-tuer.de

07937 Zeulenroda-Triebes