

# Consultation Questionnaire Exemption No. 4(f) of RoHS Annex III

## Current wording of the exemption:

Mercury in other discharge lamps for special purposes not specifically mentioned in this Annex

Requested validity period: Maximum (5 years and 7 years (cat. 8 and 9) respectively)

### **ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS**

UV Ultra Violet

LED Light-Emitting-Diode

Mercury Hg

LEU LightingEurope

### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. **Background**

Bio Innovation Service, UNITAR and Fraunhofer IZM have been appointed by the European Commission through for the evaluation of applications for the review of requests for new exemptions and the renewal of exemptions currently listed in Annexes III and IV of the RoHS Directive 2011/65/EU.

VDMA and Lighting Europe submitted requests<sup>2</sup> for the renewal of the above-mentioned exemption. The request has been subject to a first completeness and plausibility check. The applicant has been requested to answer additional questions and to provide additional information, available on the request webpage of the stakeholder consultation<sup>3</sup>.

The stakeholder consultation is part of the review process for the request at hand. The objective of this consultation and the review process is to collect and to evaluate information and evidence according to the criteria listed in Art. 5(1)(a) of Directive 2011/65/EU.<sup>4</sup>

To contribute to this stakeholder consultation, please answer the below questions until the 27th of May 2021.

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32011L0065:EN:NOT



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is implemented through the specific contract 070201/2020/832829/ENV.B.3 under the Framework contract ENV.B.3/FRA/2019/0017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exemption request available at RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Clarification questionnaire available at RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Directive 2011/65/EU (RoHS) available at <a href="http://eur-">http://eur-</a>



#### 1.2. **Summary of the Exemption Request**

According to VDMA: "The application for prolongation of the existing exemption refers to mercury-containing UV discharge lamps which are used for curing (e.g. of layers of inks and coatings, adhesives and sealants), for disinfection (e.g. of water, surfaces and air) and for other industrial applications (surface modification, surface activation) The application includes the following lamp types:

- UV medium-pressure discharge lamps (MPL) for curing, disinfection and other industrial applications (internal operating pressure > 100 mbar). The UV medium-pressure lamps can be doped with iron, gallium or lead in addition to the mercury they contain.
- UV low-pressure discharge lamps for special purposes in the high power range. [...]

Typical applications to be covered by this application include curing, e.g. of inks and coatings, disinfection of water etc., and other industrial applications like surface activation and cleaning.

It is technically not possible to replace mercury in special UV lamps with other materials/chemicals in order to achieve the same widespread radiation distribution. LED-based technologies are increasingly being used, which in certain applications (e.g. curing) also offer many advantages over mercury-containing UV lamps. Nevertheless, LED technologies cannot be used as an equivalent replacement in many applications."

According to LightingEurope, "[...] The renewal application concerns lamps and UV light sources defined as:

- High Pressure Sodium (vapour) lamps (HPS) for horticulture lighting,
- Medium and high-pressure UV lamps for curing, disinfection of water and surfaces, day simulation for zoo animals, etc...
- Short-arc Hg lamps for projection, studio, stage lighting, microlithography for semiconductor production, etc...

## Replacement of mercury and mercury containing lamps is impracticable:

- The lamps covered by exemption 4(f) must remain available on the EU market:
  - o For new equipment for certain applications where no functionally suitable alternatives are available
  - As spare parts for in-use equipment as replacing end-of-life lamps avoids having equipment become electronic waste before due time"

## 2. QUESTIONS

- 1. VDMA and LightingEurope<sup>2</sup> requested the renewal of the above exemption for the maximum validity periods with the same scope and wording for all EEE of cat. 3 and 5 (VDMA) and cat. 1-10 (LEU).
  - a. Please let us know whether you support or disagree with the wording, scope and requested duration of the exemption. To support your views, please provide detailed technical argumentation / evidence in line with the criteria4 in Art. 5(1)(a).





- b. If applicable, please suggest an alternative wording and duration and explain your proposal.
- 2. Please provide information concerning possible substitutes or elimination possibilities at present or in the future so that the requested exemption could be restricted or revoked.
  - a. Please explain substitution and elimination possibilities and for which part of the applications in the scope of the requested exemption they are relevant.
  - b. Please provide information as to research to find alternatives that do not rely on the exemption under review (substitution or elimination), and which may cover part or all of the applications in the scope of the exemption request.
  - c. Please provide a roadmap of such on-going substitution/elimination and research (phases that are to be carried out), detailing the current status as well as the estimated time needed for further stages.
- 3. Do you know of other manufacturers producing devices of comparable features and performance like the ones in the scope of this exemption request that do not depend on RoHSrestricted substances, or use smaller amounts of these substances compared to the applications in the scope of this exemption?
- 4. As part of the evaluation, socio-economic impacts shall also be compiled and evaluated. For this purpose, if you have information on socioeconomic aspects, please provide details in respect of the following:
  - a. What are the volumes of EEE in the scope of the requested exemptions which are placed on the market per year?
    - Today numerous printing, reprography and many industrial coating machines depend on UV mercury lamps that emit a broadband UV spectrum to cure their colors and coatings. LED with its limited wavelengths is not a possible alternative today. Water disinfection and photooxidation is not at all replacable by LED or other technologies in an efficient way today, so again the low and medium pressure lamp is a must or many industries and customers would have a major economic but also environmental issue. Even in the production of vitamin in the pharmacy industry the UV medium pressure lamp is not replacable by any other source today.
  - b. What are the volumes of additional waste to be generated should the requested exemption not be renewed or not be renewed for the requested duration?
    - Only in Germany there are thousands of printing shops with ten thousands of printing machines in Europe and the world that would have a major problem with their machines. Many pharmacy productions use photoxidation process reactors using UV medium pressure lamps with mercury to reduce their organic compounds in their waste water. Many industrial production machines use UV lamps to





disinfect surfaces, air and water where UV Leds are still far away in efficiency to replace mercury lamps. The generated waste but also environmental issues would be enormous if these special lighting mercury based UV lamps has to be stopped.

c. What are estimated impacts on employment in total, in the EU and outside the EU, should the requested exemption not be renewed or be renewed for less than the re-quested time period? Please detail the main sectors in which possible impacts are expected manufacturers of equipment in the scope of the exemption, suppliers, re-tail, users of MRI devices, etc.

From the production of UV mercury lamps, to the high cost stopping many production machines (printing shops, many industrial productions from car to pharmacy), to the environmental problems in ten thousands of municipalities, thousands of people would be directly effected if the requested exemption would not be given. The development of alternative light sources especially UV Leds continues with high power but is still far away from a possible replacement today. Last not least UVC air disinfection with mercury lamps is a very important new solution to reduce infection risk within buildings.

d. Please estimate additional costs associated should the requested exemption not be renewed, and how this is divided between various sectors (e.g. private, public, industry: manufacturers, suppliers, retailers).

Water disinfection - Several 100 Mio.€ to replace the todays water disinfection with alternatives but in some cases there is to my knowledge no environmental better solution like for trinking water or reduction of organic compounds.

Printing industry - Complete label printers but today most printing machines use UV colors and dryers in Germany, Europe and the world > 100 Mio.€ would be needed to replace this technology not having a better alternative in quality/cost.

5. Any additional information which you would like to provide?

Please note that answers to these questions can be published in the stakeholder consultation, which is part of the evaluation of this request. If your answers contain confidential information, please provide a version that can be made public along with a confidential version, in which proprietary information is clearly marked.

Please do not forget to provide your contact details (Name, Organisation, e-mail and phone number) so that the project team can contact you in case there are questions concerning your contribution.

Dr. Ernst Smolka, COO Dr. Fischer Group, e.smolka@dr-fischer-group.de, +49-6432-913191

