

# Consultation Questionnaire Exemption No. 4(f) of RoHS Annex III

#### Current wording of the exemption:

Mercury in other discharge lamps for special purposes not specifically mentioned in this Annex

Requested validity period: Maximum (5 years and 7 years (cat. 8 and 9) respectively)

## **ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS**

UV	Ultra Violet
LED	Light-Emitting-Diode
Hg	Mercury
LEU	LightingEurope

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1. Background

Bio Innovation Service, UNITAR and Fraunhofer IZM have been appointed<sup>1</sup> by the European Commission through for the evaluation of applications for the review of requests for new exemptions and the renewal of exemptions currently listed in Annexes III and IV of the RoHS Directive 2011/65/EU.

VDMA and Lighting Europe submitted requests<sup>2</sup> for the renewal of the above-mentioned exemption. The request has been subject to a first completeness and plausibility check. The applicant has been requested to answer additional questions and to provide additional information, available on the request webpage of the stakeholder consultation<sup>3</sup>.

The stakeholder consultation is part of the review process for the request at hand. The objective of this consultation and the review process is to collect and to evaluate information and evidence according to the criteria listed in Art. 5(1)(a) of Directive 2011/65/EU.<sup>4</sup>

To contribute to this stakeholder consultation, please answer the below questions until the 27th of May 2021.

```
lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32011L0065:EN:NOT
```



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is implemented through the specific contract 070201/2020/832829/ENV.B.3 under the Framework contract ENV.B.3/FRA/2019/0017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exemption request available at <u>RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)</u>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Clarification questionnaire available at <u>RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)</u>
<sup>4</sup> Directive 2011/65/EU (RoHS) available at <u>http://eur-</u>

# **1.2.** Summary of the Exemption Request

According to VDMA: "The application for prolongation of the existing exemption refers to mercury-containing UV discharge lamps which are used for curing (e.g. of layers of inks and coatings, adhesives and sealants), for disinfection (e.g. of water, surfaces and air) and for other industrial applications (surface modification, surface activation) The application includes the following lamp types:

- UV medium-pressure discharge lamps (MPL) for curing, disinfection and other industrial applications (internal operating pressure > 100 mbar). The UV medium-pressure lamps can be doped with iron, gallium or lead in addition to the mercury they contain.
- UV low-pressure discharge lamps for special purposes in the high power range. [...]

Typical applications to be covered by this application include curing, e.g. of inks and coatings, disinfection of water etc., and other industrial applications like surface activation and cleaning.

It is technically not possible to replace mercury in special UV lamps with other materials/chemicals in order to achieve the same widespread radiation distribution. LED-based technologies are increasingly being used, which in certain applications (e.g. curing) also offer many advantages over mercury-containing UV lamps. Nevertheless, LED technologies cannot be used as an equivalent replacement in many applications. "

According to LightingEurope, "[...] The renewal application concerns lamps and UV light sources defined as:

- High Pressure Sodium (vapour) lamps (HPS) for horticulture lighting,
- Medium and high-pressure UV lamps for curing, disinfection of water and surfaces, day simulation for zoo animals, etc...
- Short-arc Hg lamps for projection, studio, stage lighting, microlithography for semiconductor production, etc...

#### Replacement of mercury and mercury containing lamps is impracticable:

- The lamps covered by exemption 4(f) must remain available on the EU market:
  - For new equipment for certain applications where no functionally suitable alternatives are available
  - As spare parts for in-use equipment as replacing end-of-life lamps avoids having equipment become electronic waste before due time"

## 2. QUESTIONS

- VDMA and LightingEurope<sup>2</sup> requested the renewal of the above exemption for the maximum validity periods with the same scope and wording for all EEE of cat. 3 and 5 (VDMA) and cat. 1-10 (LEU).
  - a. Please let us know whether you support or disagree with the wording, scope and requested duration of the exemption. To support your views, please provide detailed technical argumentation / evidence in line with the criteria4 in Art. 5(1)(a).



- b. If applicable, please suggest an alternative wording and duration and explain your proposal.
- 2. Please provide information concerning possible substitutes or elimination possibilities at present or in the future so that the requested exemption could be restricted or revoked.
  - a. Please explain substitution and elimination possibilities and for which part of the applications in the scope of the requested exemption they are relevant.
  - b. Please provide information as to research to find alternatives that do not rely on the exemption under review (substitution or elimination), and which may cover part or all of the applications in the scope of the exemption request.
  - c. Please provide a roadmap of such on-going substitution/elimination and research (phases that are to be carried out), detailing the current status as well as the estimated time needed for further stages.
- 3. Do you know of other manufacturers producing devices of comparable features and performance like the ones in the scope of this exemption request that do not depend on RoHS-restricted substances, or use smaller amounts of these substances compared to the applications in the scope of this exemption?
- 4. As part of the evaluation, socio-economic impacts shall also be compiled and evaluated. For this purpose, if you have information on socioeconomic aspects, please provide details in respect of the following:
  - a. What are the volumes of EEE in the scope of the requested exemptions which are placed on the market per year?
  - b. What are the volumes of additional waste to be generated should the requested exemption not be renewed or not be renewed for the requested duration?
  - c. What are estimated impacts on employment in total, in the EU and outside the EU, should the requested exemption not be renewed or be renewed for less than the re-quested time period? Please detail the main sectors in which possible impacts are expected manufacturers of equipment in the scope of the exemption, suppliers, re-tail, users of MRI devices, etc.
  - d. Please estimate additional costs associated should the requested exemption not be renewed, and how this is divided between various sectors (e.g. private, public, industry: manufacturers, suppliers, retailers).
- 5. Any additional information which you would like to provide?





Please note that answers to these questions can be published in the stakeholder consultation, which is part of the evaluation of this request. If your answers contain confidential information, please provide a version that can be made public along with a confidential version, in which proprietary information is clearly marked.

Please do not forget to provide your contact details (Name, Organisation, e-mail and phone number) so that the project team can contact you in case there are questions concerning your contribution.

We are a producer of printing machinery, we are based in Italy and employ 34 people. www.moss.it

We manufacture the following products: silk screen machines, offset machines, heat transfer machine, ... We use UV lamps for Ink curing

Our annual consumption of lamps is: 20.

The number and type of machines / devices with mercury-based UV technology is: 10/year Our experiences with alternatives to UV lamps are good but not in every case.

UV lamps are still required for the following reasons:

- the reliability of substitutes is not ensured
- development of alternative solutions (e.g., based on UV LEDs) takes a lot of time. Especially, the development for new applications in the UVC area is still facing major challenges

There are other mercury-free types of discharge lamps and other light sources like UV-LEDs available, which can, to some extent, be used for similar processes. There are, however, some very severe limitations:

- Direct replacement (exchanging only the lamp) is in most cases technologically not possible

- Replacement of existing machines/processes with alternative light sources (if available) usually requires additional steps, which may include:

- replacement of power supplies and peripheral electrical components
- replacement or alteration of inks and varnishes
- use of other substrates
- necessity for (other) pre-treatment technology
- necessity for inert production environments (expensive use of nitrogen or carbon dioxide)
- change of UV measurement equipment (different spectral sensitivity)
- change of process speeds (usually substantial speed and productivity decrease)
- heavy redesign of machine equipment
- complications like cross-sensitivity to daylight and/or artificial lighting

We don't see the existence of a roadmap for the complete substitution/elimination of mercury-based discharge lamps in most fields of application. There are other technologies available which might justify investment into new machines and which might gain market share with respect to conventional UV



applications over time. But for numerous existing machines/processes/applications, there is no reasonable replacement available.

Most existing machines on the market running with mercury discharge lamps would have to be considered as additional waste and would have to be disposed of. In many cases, it is economically and/or technologically not feasible to retrofit existing equipment with alternative light sources.

If UV lamps are no longer available, many entire machines are no longer usable. Stored UV materials, replacement lamps and machinery have to be scrapped.

We would like to strongly encourage policy makers to invest their effort into a well-organised recycling system including increasing the public awareness on the necessity of actively participating in the recycling loop. This is a win-win situation for all involved parties to the best outcome of having the best technologies available for the specific needs and without banning certain products, machines, technologies or markets for "the worse".