Consultation Questionnaire Exemption No. 4(f) of RoHS Annex III

Current wording of the exemption:

Mercury in other discharge lamps for special purposes not specifically mentioned in this Annex

Requested validity period: Maximum (5 years and 7 years (cat. 8 and 9) respectively)

ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

UV Ultra Violet

LED Light-Emitting-Diode

Hg Mercury

LEU LightingEurope

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background**

Bio Innovation Service, UNITAR and Fraunhofer IZM have been appointed¹ by the European Commission through for the evaluation of applications for the review of requests for new exemptions and the renewal of exemptions currently listed in Annexes III and IV of the RoHS Directive 2011/65/EU.

VDMA and Lighting Europe submitted requests² for the renewal of the above-mentioned exemption. The request has been subject to a first completeness and plausibility check. The applicant has been requested to answer additional questions and to provide additional information, available on the request webpage of the stakeholder consultation³.

The stakeholder consultation is part of the review process for the request at hand. The objective of this consultation and the review process is to collect and to evaluate information and evidence according to the criteria listed in Art. 5(1)(a) of Directive 2011/65/EU.⁴

To contribute to this stakeholder consultation, please answer the below questions until the 27th of May 2021.

¹ It is implemented through the specific contract 070201/2020/832829/ENV.B.3 under the Framework contract ENV.B.3/FRA/2019/0017

² Exemption request available at RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)

³ Clarification questionnaire available at <u>RoHS Annex III exemption evaluation - Stakeholder consultation (biois.eu)</u>

⁴ Directive 2011/65/EU (RoHS) available at http://eur- lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32011L0065:EN:NOT



1.2. **Summary of the Exemption Request**

According to VDMA: "The application for prolongation of the existing exemption refers to mercury-containing UV discharge lamps which are used for curing (e.g. of layers of inks and coatings, adhesives and sealants), for disinfection (e.g. of water, surfaces and air) and for other industrial applications (surface modification, surface activation) The application includes the following lamp types:

- UV medium-pressure discharge lamps (MPL) for curing, disinfection and other industrial applications (internal operating pressure > 100 mbar). The UV medium-pressure lamps can be doped with iron, gallium or lead in addition to the mercury they contain.
- UV low-pressure discharge lamps for special purposes in the high power range. [...]

Typical applications to be covered by this application include curing, e.g. of inks and coatings, disinfection of water etc., and other industrial applications like surface activation and cleaning.

It is technically not possible to replace mercury in special UV lamps with other materials/chemicals in order to achieve the same widespread radiation distribution. LED-based technologies are increasingly being used, which in certain applications (e.g. curing) also offer many advantages over mercury-containing UV lamps. Nevertheless, LED technologies cannot be used as an equivalent replacement in many applications."

According to LightingEurope, "[...] The renewal application concerns lamps and UV light sources defined as:

- High Pressure Sodium (vapour) lamps (HPS) for horticulture lighting,
- Medium and high-pressure UV lamps for curing, disinfection of water and surfaces, day simulation for zoo animals, etc...
- Short-arc Hg lamps for projection, studio, stage lighting, microlithography for semiconductor production, etc...

Replacement of mercury and mercury containing lamps is impracticable:

- The lamps covered by exemption 4(f) must remain available on the EU market:
 - o For new equipment for certain applications where no functionally suitable alternatives are available
 - As spare parts for in-use equipment as replacing end-of-life lamps avoids having equipment become electronic waste before due time"

General Statement

Teknos Group Oy is a Finnish coating manufacturer manufacturing and selling coatings in a number of countries across Europe, Asia, and North America. We employ about 1.800 people.

UV-curable products are one of most important coatings' technologies produced by Teknos and make up a key part of our business.

UV-curable products allow for high capacity production and throughput while maintaining an outstanding quality level, which cannot be achieved with waterborne technology.

Some areas of the wood coatings industry, such as the flooring industry, is not possible to run effectively without the use of UV-curable products.





While we don't use Mercury-based UV lamps directly in our company as a part of our business, a big portion of our business relies on the use of Mercury-based UV lamps in our customer's production. Internally at Teknos, we use Mercury-based UV lamps for developments of new coatings, complaint handling, quality control of production batches, etc.





2. QUESTIONS

- VDMA and LightingEurope² requested the renewal of the above exemption for the maximum 1. validity periods with the same scope and wording for all EEE of cat. 3 and 5 (VDMA) and cat. 1-10 (LEU).
 - a. Please let us know whether you support or disagree with the wording, scope and requested duration of the exemption. To support your views, please provide detailed technical argumentation / evidence in line with the criteria⁴ in Art. 5(1)(a).

An extension of this should be at least until 2026. Until that time (and even beyond), we don't expect a breakthrough in alternatives.

We see some development happen to the UV-C based LED lamps. Current UV-C type LED lamps have very low efficiency and short working life. Moreover, there are areas where it's more challenging to find solutions, e.g. surface curing UV-based coatings at line speeds exceeding 100 m / min.

There are currently no alternatives to a Mercury-based UV lamps in the industry. Without a Mercury-based UV lamp, surface curing of UV-curable products is not able to achieve the quality level which is reached with a Mercury-based UV lamp. Moreover, the photo initiators compatible with e.g. 365 nm LED lamp yield a lower surface and end-product quality. There are neither commercially available chemical components nor photo initiators on the market which can cure properly with the current UV-A LED-based lamps available today. Since there are no alternative solutions to a Mercury-based UV lamp, they are still required in the industry.

b. If applicable, please suggest an alternative wording and duration and explain your proposal.

From an industrial point of view, the shortening of the period of validity does not make sense, because the development of alternative solutions (e.g., based on UV LEDs) takes a long time. While LED lamps for substituting Gallium-doped Mercury lamps are commercially available, the development for new applications in the UV-C area is still facing major challenges, such as very low efficiency and short working life, while still requiring a major investment.

- Please provide information concerning possible substitutes or elimination possibilities at 2. present or in the future so that the requested exemption could be restricted or revoked.
 - a. Please explain substitution and elimination possibilities and for which part of the applications in the scope of the requested exemption they are relevant.





- b. Please provide information as to research to find alternatives that do not rely on the exemption under review (substitution or elimination), and which may cover part or all of the applications in the scope of the exemption request.
- c. Please provide a roadmap of such on-going substitution/elimination and research (phases that are to be carried out), detailing the current status as well as the estimated time needed for further stages.
- 3. Do you know of other manufacturers producing devices of comparable features and performance like the ones in the scope of this exemption request that do not depend on RoHSrestricted substances, or use smaller amounts of these substances compared to the applications in the scope of this exemption?
- 4. As part of the evaluation, socio-economic impacts shall also be compiled and evaluated. For this purpose, if you have information on socioeconomic aspects, please provide details in respect of the following:
 - a. What are the volumes of EEE in the scope of the requested exemptions which are placed on the market per year?
 - b. What are the volumes of additional waste to be generated should the requested exemption not be renewed or not be renewed for the requested duration?
 - c. What are estimated impacts on employment in total, in the EU and outside the EU, should the requested exemption not be renewed or be renewed for less than the requested time period? Please detail the main sectors in which possible impacts are expected - manufacturers of equipment in the scope of the exemption, suppliers, retail, users of MRI devices, etc.
- d. Please estimate additional costs associated should the requested exemption not be renewed, and how this is divided between various sectors (e.g. private, public, industry: manufacturers, suppliers, retailers).
- 5. Any additional information which you would like to provide?

Establishment of full recycling process of Mercury-based UV lamps.

A European ban of Mercury-based UV lamps, and not a global ban, will weaken European competition in the industry.





Please note that answers to these questions can be published in the stakeholder consultation, which is part of the evaluation of this request. If your answers contain confidential information, please provide a version that can be made public along with a confidential version, in which proprietary information is clearly marked.

Please do not forget to provide your contact details (Name, Organisation, e-mail and phone number) so that the project team can contact you in case there are questions concerning your contribution.

